

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNIFED STATES DE ARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.daspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/931,858	08/20/2001	Shinsuke Moriai	011049	3549	
38834	7590 08/28/2006		EXAM	INER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700			BROWN, CHRISTOPHER J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2134	<u></u>	
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/28/2000	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/931,858	MORIAI, SHINSUKE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher J. Brown	2134		

zororo uno rumigiori un ripipi un zoror	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christopher J. Brown	2134					
4∆The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
73The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</u>							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			-				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	•				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>	): <i>.</i>						
non-allowable claim(s).							
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:</li> </ol>		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:	Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. Other:							
•							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant essentially argues that the examiner mischaracterizes Christensen because Christensen does not teach when the power switch is turned off power is supplied to complete the downloading of data. The applicant also argues that the one would not be motivated to make the combnation of Christensen with Kim. The examiner asserts that this is not the case. Kim is relied upon to teach a switch when actuated triggers a "power controlling mode", not the actual power management itself. Christensen teaches a power management mode wherein part of the power management consists of preventing a power shutoff if there is a download in progress. The combination of Christensen and Kim uses the switch of Kim and the power mode of Christensen thus creating a system that , when the case is closed, will actuate power management, that will sense the download in progress, afterwhich the system will lose power.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY PATENTER 2100